UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

vs. 11-cr-602

JOSEPH VINCENT JENKINS,

Defendant.

-----x

Transcript of Faretta Hearing held on
May 29, 2013, at the James Hanley Federal Building,
100 South Clinton Street, Syracuse, New York, the
HONORABLE GLENN T. SUDDABY, United States District Judge,
Presiding.

APPEARANCES

For Government: OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

P.O. Box 7198

100 South Clinton Street

Syracuse, New York 13261-7198 BY: GWENDOLYN E. CARROLL, AUSA

TAMARA THOMSON, AUSA

For Defendant: JOSEPH VINCENT JENKINS, Pro Se

Cayuga County Jail 7445 County House Road Auburn, New York 13021

Eileen McDonough, RPR, CRR Official U.S. Court Reporter 100 S. Clinton Street Syracuse, New York 13260 (315)234-8546

```
THE CLERK: Case number 5:11-cr-602. Counsel,
1
 2
    please note your appearance for the record. And the
 3
    defendant, if you would note your appearance after she notes
    theirs.
 4
 5
                   MS. CARROLL: Good morning, Your Honor.
    Carroll and Tamara Thomson appearing on behalf of the
 6
 7
    government.
8
                    THE COURT: Good morning.
 9
                   MR. JENKINS: Joseph Jenkins appearing.
10
                    THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Jenkins. We're
11
    here to accomplish a few things this morning. First of all,
12
    we met some time ago to discuss, Mr. Jenkins, your ability
13
    and opportunity and desire to get an attorney and what you
14
    wanted to do, and I believe I asked two different counsel to
15
    go meet with you. And I understand that they did, is that
16
    correct?
17
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
                    THE COURT: And can you tell me, have you made
19
    any decisions about what you're going to do about legal
20
    representation?
21
                    THE DEFENDANT: I stated before I want to
22
    represent myself for the time being. I've asked for
23
    discovery ever since I've been in here and I haven't been
24
    able to obtain it through attorneys, and I don't know if the
2.5
    only way to get it is to represent myself or not.
```

THE COURT: I would say probably not. Okay? You've been represented by counsel previously and you've made motions and you've requested certain things, and the government has an obligation to turn over certain things that are in their control, possession and control, and you've made motions with regard to that. I issued some decisions and orders with regard to that. We'll deal with that shortly as far as what's been turned over and what the government has an obligation to turn over, we'll lay that out for you to understand.

But I don't believe that there is going to be any -- I can tell you there isn't going to be any change with regard to what you legally have a right to and what the government legally has a responsibility to turn over to you. Now, you may be able to get some of the things that you want on your own, and certainly that's easier with the assistance of an attorney. A number of things are easier with the assistance of an attorney.

One of the things that I want to emphasize very strongly, and depending on how you answer I'm going to go through an entire list of questions to ensure that you understand how important it is that you be represented by legal counsel. It's critical with regard to no matter what you decide to do, whether it's to go to trial, to enter a plea; I know you expressed no interest in that. But

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regardless of what you decide you want to do in this case, it is critical that you have legal representation.

Now, at one of our prior conferences here in this courtroom you indicated to me that your parents were involved in trying to assist you in retaining an attorney. Based on that, this Court reached out to your parents and just to see if they had any success or if they wanted to be involved in this court proceeding where I was going to talk to you about how important it is to have legal representation. You sent me a letter objecting to that. let me make it clear, in no way did this Court order or demand or any way else that your parents be here. After your letter, as a matter of fact, I had my clerk contact your parents to make it perfectly clear that they did not have to come here; the Court was not requiring them to come here. was just reaching out to try and assist you and the court to find out if they had had any success in helping you to retain an attorney.

And secondly, based on the fact that you represented they were trying to help you, I thought they would be very interested and want to be involved or at least be present for this particular hearing today for you to make a decision about whether or not you're going to retain legal counsel in going forward. It was my hope that if you needed to, you might want to have a discussion with them to assist

you in making that decision. Okay? So I want that to be clear, Mr. Jenkins. I was in no way ordering your parents to be here. I hope you understand that.

THE DEFENDANT: That's fine. They were just out of town and I didn't want them --

THE COURT: I understand. I understood from your letter. And we contacted them to make sure that they understood that we weren't requiring them to be here. And I see I believe in the front row here they are here, and if you get to a point where you want to talk to them, I'm going to encourage the marshals or ask the marshals to allow you to do that. I'll have them come up inside the bar and sit at that back table and you can turn around and talk to them if that's something you want to do with regard to this legal counsel thing.

So, when you say to me you think you want to represent yourself at this point, tell me what you mean by that.

THE DEFENDANT: I can't find a lawyer that wants to do what I want them to do. And I've been through this, I went through it for a year and a half with Jeff Parry. I said there is certain things that I need for my case. Look, it's right here on the paper, they have this, this and this, I want it. Well, yeah, we'll get it, we'll do this. Well, nothing is happening, it's gone on for a year

and a half.

So, I mean there is — this case is four years old and there is a lot of things that happened prior to this, I know the U.S. Government doesn't seem to want to get into, but they're very essential to my defense. The facts have not come out yet what has really happened in this Canada case that led to what's going on here, and I want to make sure that one way or another it comes out.

And the government jumped into the middle of a Canadian proceeding and took evidence. And there was already testimony on file as to what happened, there has been reports issued. The Canadian proceedings were not finished. The Canadian proceedings did not produce a complete forensic exam on the computer. This is all on record. I'm assuming that the forensic exams they have are not going to prove because they weren't any completed ones. And it's all on record and that's why I'm requesting this discovery.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Jenkins, as I've indicated there are certain things you're entitled to under the law and certain things that you're not, that the government has no obligation and requirement to provide to you if they don't have custody and control of those particular items. Regardless of the fact that you think that they're working with the Canadian government, they may have some cooperation to some extent, but their legal obligations

only go so far. And certainly legal counsel, somebody who's trained in the law, can advise you to that.

Now, whether you take their advice or not is another issue, and whether you want to accept or not what the law says in this country with regard to what you're entitled to, that's another issue too. But, certainly, you're better off with legal representation and being able to discuss with someone what your legal rights are so that you have an appreciation and understanding about what you can get through a discovery demand from the government and what you may get on your own if you want to try and get it.

And, certainly, it's difficult for you to try and get things on your own when you're in jail. So, legal counsel is of great assistance in that area. But please understand that they need to understand and appreciate the things they can demand from the government, from the U.S. Attorney's Office, and what they are obligated to provide and what you may be able to get on your own are two different things. If you were involved in the Canadian proceedings, which obviously you were, you're a party to it, therefore, your rights with regard to requesting things, I don't know Canadian law, but maybe you have more of a right to ask for that stuff than somebody else.

THE DEFENDANT: See, that's the thing. The last year and a half with Jeff Parry, Jeff Parry got stuff

```
from Canada and it's been confirmed but he didn't want to
1
    share it with me. And I mean, obviously, I can't get it by
 2
 3
    myself. It just hasn't worked out with an attorney so far.
                    THE COURT: Well, Mr. Jenkins, you need an
 4
 5
    attorney.
               I mean, there are certain things you need to
 6
    understand, and at this point I'm going to go through a list
 7
    of things that I'm required to cover with you so that I know
    that you have an appreciation of how important it is to be
8
 9
    represented. Okay? So bear with me, I'm going to go through
10
    this.
11
                   First of all, how old are you?
12
                   THE DEFENDANT: Forty-three.
13
                    THE COURT: Forty-three. You obviously can
14
    read and write; you've submitted all sorts of motions and
15
    things like that. No problem with doing that?
16
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17
                    THE COURT: You have no difficulty
18
    understanding the English language. The Court can make the
19
    assessments of that from your appearances before me. How far
20
    did you go in school?
21
                    THE DEFENDANT: I have two years of college
22
    and I took some extra courses after that.
23
                    THE COURT: So, you're a high school graduate
24
    and you have some college education?
2.5
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
```

Case 5:11-cr-00602-GTS Document 87 Filed 06/18/13 Page 9 of 38

```
THE COURT: What type of construction?
1
 2
                   THE DEFENDANT: Mostly electrical work.
                   THE COURT: Electrical work. So residential
 3
    or commercial?
 4
                   THE DEFENDANT: Residential, commercial and
    industrial.
 6
 7
                   THE COURT: Okay. And were you required to
8
    have any licenses or certifications to do that work?
 9
                   THE DEFENDANT: No. I went to school for a
10
    year.
11
                   THE COURT: But a lot of times if you're going
12
    to do electrical work, there is certain certifications that
13
    are required. You didn't have any of that?
14
                   THE DEFENDANT: No. That's only in the big
15
    cities, don't have that in the small towns.
16
                   THE COURT: Where were you doing this kind of
17
    work?
18
                   THE DEFENDANT: Geneva, Canandaigua, Waterloo,
19
    Seneca Falls.
20
                   THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever been treated
21
    for any sort of mental illness?
22
                   THE DEFENDANT: No.
23
                   THE COURT: Have you -- do you have a history
24
    of drug and alcohol use?
25
                   THE DEFENDANT: No.
```

```
THE COURT: Are you currently taking any
1
 2
    medications?
                    THE DEFENDANT: No.
 3
                    THE COURT: Nothing?
 4
 5
                    THE DEFENDANT: Just an allergy pill.
                    THE COURT: Allergy pill, okay. Has anyone
 6
 7
    advised you not to use a lawyer? Have you talked to anybody
    and somebody's given you advice, either in jail or someplace
8
 9
    else, and they said you don't need a lawyer?
10
                    THE DEFENDANT: No. It's my own decision.
11
                    THE COURT: No one has threatened you about
12
    use of a lawyer or not using a lawyer?
13
                    THE DEFENDANT: No.
14
                    THE COURT: And you understand that you have a
15
    Sixth Amendment right under the United States Constitution to
16
    be represented by a lawyer at trial and at every other stage
17
    of these proceedings? Do you understand that?
18
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes. Yes.
19
                    THE COURT: And do you understand these
20
    charges that have been filed against you?
21
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2.2
                    THE COURT: Tell me what you've been charged
23
    with.
24
                    THE DEFENDANT: Um, transporting, transporting
2.5
    child pornography into Canada.
```

```
1
                    THE COURT: And there is another charge.
 2
    What's that one?
                    THE DEFENDANT: Possession.
 3
                    THE COURT: Possession of child pornography.
 4
 5
    Okay. And during the time that you were represented by a
    lawyer in this case, did you discuss these charges with your
 6
 7
    attorney?
8
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 9
                    THE COURT: And you can assure me you
10
    understand what these charges are?
11
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12
                    THE COURT: Do you have any questions about
13
    the charges, what the charges are?
14
                    THE DEFENDANT: Well, I have a lot of
15
    questions how it ended up in the United States, but not to
16
    the charges themselves, no.
17
                    THE COURT: What I'm going to do now is I'm
18
    going to have the government advise you of the potential
19
    penalties of these charges. I want to make sure that you
20
    understand, I want it on the record that you understand what
21
    you're looking at as far as potential penalties.
22
                   Ms. Thomson, if you could go ahead.
23
                   MS. THOMSON: Yes, Your Honor. For the first
24
    count, that's the transportation of child pornography, the
2.5
    term of incarceration would be not less than five years, not
```

```
1
    more than twenty years, so there is a mandatory minimum of
 2
    five years incarceration. Term of supervised release would
 3
    be five years to life. Maximum fine would be $250,000. A
    special assessment of $100. And as a result of conviction in
 4
 5
    this case, the defendant would be required to register as a
    sex offender, would be subject to prosecution under 18 U.S.C.
 6
 7
    Section 2250. There will be also consequences typical to a
    felony conviction, include but are not limited to the loss of
8
 9
    the right to vote and to bear arms.
10
                   With regard to the second count, that is the
11
    possession of child pornography, the term of incarceration
12
    would be not more than ten years, also supervised release
13
    term of five years to life, same fine, $250,000 max, special
14
    assessment of $100.
15
                    THE COURT:
                                Thank you, Ms. Thomson.
16
    Mr. Jenkins, do you have any question about those potential
17
    penalties that you're facing?
18
                    THE DEFENDANT:
                                   No.
19
                    THE COURT: And do you understand that if
20
    you're convicted on these charges, you will have a permanent
21
    criminal record? Do you understand that?
22
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
23
                    THE COURT: And you don't have any questions
24
    about any of those potential penalties?
25
                    THE DEFENDANT: No.
```

THE COURT: Now, I want to talk to you about some of the advantages of being represented by a lawyer. And certainly an attorney's legal knowledge and experience is incredibly helpful and useful, despite what you've indicated your experience with Mr. Parry is, pretrial getting ready for trial and knowing what the issues are, what the legal issues are, what they need to address, what witnesses they may need to call or not call, what things they need to request from the government, the ability to secure potentially favorable evidence and how that evidence can be introduced in a court of law. All those things pretrial are critical.

Now, with regard to advantages of being represented by a lawyer at trial, and this is where I really emphasize that the legal training and the experience of courtroom procedures, rules of evidence, law of evidence and a number of other things, the incredible disadvantage that you will be at without legal representation.

First of all, a lawyer has the experience and knowledge of this entire process. He or she would be able to argue for your side during the whole trial and present the best legal arguments possible on your behalf for your defense. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And since a jury qualification and selection are governed by numerous legal procedures, a lawyer

with knowledge and experience in selecting a jury and going 1 2 through the jury selection process is also critical. Do you 3 understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: There are rules and procedures 6 with regard to that. A lawyer can call witnesses for you, 7 question those witnesses, cross-examine witnesses against you and present evidence on your behalf. Do you understand that? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: A lawyer can advise you on whether 11 you should testify or not and help you and assist you with that decision, advise you of what you have a right not to say 12 13 and advise you on things that you may want to say in front of 14 a jury and this Court. Do you understand that? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 16 THE COURT: A lawyer has studied the rules of 17 evidence, knows what evidence can or cannot come into your 18 trial. Do you understand that? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: A lawyer may be able to provide 21 assistance in ensuring that a jury is given complete and 22 accurate jury instructions by the Court and may make 23 effective closing arguments on your behalf and may prevent 24 improper argument by the government. Do you understand that? 2.5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And a lawyer may ensure that any errors committed during the trial are properly preserved for appellate review later by a higher court, which takes an appreciation and understanding of the law. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions about those potential advantages regarding the trial and having a lawyer represent you? Anything I can answer for you? THE DEFENDANT: No, not really. THE COURT: All right. Now, I'm going to go through a list of things with regard to the advantages of having a lawyer represent you post trial. If you are convicted, a lawyer's assistance may be useful in preparing for sentencing, ensuring that favorable facts are brought to the attention of the Court, ensuring that the Court is advised of all legally available favorable dispositions and ensuring that the sentence is lawfully imposed. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: An attorney's legal knowledge and experience may be useful in filing appeals and in seeking release on bail pending an appeal. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you have any questions about these potential advantages of having an attorney with regard to that stage of the proceedings?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Now, I'm going to list for you as best I can dangers and disadvantages of self representation. And let me say to you before I start this section, that a long time ago when I was a young lawyer I heard a judge talking to an attorney who was charged criminally, and he said to this attorney in advising him how important it was, even though he had legal training, to have a different attorney represent him on the criminal charges that he had pending against him is because a person gets emotionally involved when you're charged with something, when these accusations are made, and it's hard to very difficult, even if you have legal training, to separate that emotion of your personal involvement with what you have to do as a professional and as an attorney in understanding the law and making clear judgments about what the appropriate thing to do. And the judge looked at this attorney and said, only a fool wouldn't have an attorney represent him, and you would have a fool for a client if you tried to represent yourself. And I thought that was incredibly good advice, and I'm trying to make a point to you here as I go through this list of dangers and disadvantages.

You would be proceeding alone in a complex 1 2 area where experience and professional training are greatly 3 desired. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: A lawyer might be aware of possible defenses to charges against you that you may not 6 7 understand or appreciate. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: You will not get any special 10 treatment from this Court just because you are representing 11 yourself. Do you understand that? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 THE COURT: You will not be entitled to a 14 continuance simply because you wish to represent yourself. 15 Do you understand that? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 17 THE COURT: You will also be limited to the 18 legal resources that are available to you while you're in 19 custody which puts you at an incredible disadvantage. You 20 will not be entitled to any additional library privileges 21 just because you are representing yourself, and a lawyer has 22 fewer restrictions in researching your defense, obviously. 23 Do you understand that? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2.5 THE COURT: And you are not required to

possess the legal knowledge or skills of an attorney in order 1 2 to represent yourself; however, you will be required to abide 3 by the rules of criminal law, the rules of this courtroom procedure. And these laws took lawyers years to learn and 4 abide by. And if you demonstrate an unwillingness to abide 6 by these rules, I may terminate your self representation. Do 7 you understand that? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: In other words, you don't know 10 these rules, and if you're fumbling around and you can't 11 follow them and you're impeding the progress of the trial, 12 then I may terminate your ability to represent yourself. 13 If you are disruptive in the courtroom, I can terminate your self representation and have you removed from 14 15 the courtroom, in which case the trial would continue without 16 your presence. Do you understand that? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Your access to an Assistant United 19 States Attorney who is prosecuting this case will be severely 20 reduced as compared to a lawyer who could easily contact the 21 Assistant U.S. Attorney and discuss this case with them and 22 request certain information, which would be very difficult 23 for you to do. Do you understand that? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 25 THE COURT: In addition, the government will

```
not go easier on or give you any special treatment because
1
 2
    you are representing yourself. The government will present
 3
    its case against you as experienced lawyers and will provide
    you no special consideration as a result of you representing
 4
 5
    yourself. Do you understand that?
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 6
 7
                    THE COURT: And finally, if you are convicted,
    you cannot claim on appeal that your own lack of legal
8
 9
    knowledge or skill constitutes a basis for a new trial.
10
    other words, you cannot claim that you received ineffective
11
    assistance of counsel based on your own representation.
12
    you understand that?
13
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14
                    THE COURT: Can I answer any questions for
15
    you?
16
                    THE DEFENDANT: How am I not entitled to a law
17
    library or anything like that?
18
                    THE COURT: You're not entitled to any greater
19
    access than you already have just because you're representing
20
    yourself.
21
                    THE DEFENDANT: County, all I'm entitled to is
22
    county?
23
                    THE COURT: All you're entitled to is what's
24
    there and what they have for you.
2.5
                    THE DEFENDANT: Whatever.
```

THE COURT: Again, Mr. Jenkins, nobody can 1 2 tell me or you right now what the outcome of this case is 3 going to be, but it is critically important that you have appropriate legal representation to help you through this. 4 5 And I'm going to ask you again, and I'll give you an 6 opportunity at this point to have your parents come and sit 7 at that back table and for you to discuss this with them. But I'm urging you, sir, to go ahead and get a lawyer. And 8 9 again I've indicated if you have difficulty in finding one, 10 which you've indicated that you have, I will send lawyers to 11 see you, a couple more. If you didn't like the two that went 12 to see you, they're both very good and qualified attorneys to 13 discuss this with, but you got to listen to them and work 14 with them, and I'm sure they'll listen to you. And they can 15 explain to you reasons why or why not you can get certain 16 discovery, may be able to assist you as a party to this case 17 that you're concerned about in Canada in getting certain 18 things because you are a party or you were a party to that 19 So, again, it's critically important that you have 20 some legal counsel. 21 Would you like your parents to come sit at that table so that you can talk to them? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: All right. 24 THE COURT: Folks, come on up, sit at that 25 back table. I'm going to have your son turn around and talk

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to you across that back table. Go ahead, Mr. Jenkins, turn your chair around, slide it up to that table so you can talk to your parents. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, I think you completely understand how strongly I feel and believe how important it is for your best interest to be represented by an attorney. And you've had an opportunity to consider this and talk with your parents. How do you want to proceed, sir? THE DEFENDANT: I will proceed by myself for the time being. THE COURT: Okay. Well, then what I'm going to do, Mr. Jenkins, is I'm going to appoint stand-by trial counsel, which means I'm going to appoint counsel, and he or she will be available to you if you have questions in the course of the proceedings, because what we're going to do right now is we're going to talk about the discovery obligations of the government. I'm going to hear from the government as to what they provided and I'll hear from you as to what you think is still outstanding and then we're going to schedule a trial date. This matter needs to be tried. As you've indicated, it's an old case and it needs to be moved forward.

All these motions and appeals to the Second Circuit and dismissing your one counsel and the rest of it

```
has taken a considerable amount of time away from getting
1
    this matter resolved, so we're going to proceed and we're
 2
 3
    going to get it resolved. And I will appoint stand-by trial
    counsel for you. But you need to understand that it will be
 4
 5
    your responsibility for the organization and the content of
    presenting your case and you will have the entire
 6
 7
    responsibility for your own defense. This attorney will be
    made available to answer questions as we proceed to trial,
 8
 9
    they'll be in the courtroom so that I know that there is
10
    someone you can consult with, so if you're not doing things
    appropriately or properly, you'll have someone to ask a
11
12
    question how am I supposed to proceed in this manner and do
13
    this or do that. But you're responsible for your own defense
14
    and the entire content and presentation of that defense.
15
    This attorney is just there to consult you. You understand
16
    that?
17
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
                    THE COURT: And you're sure that this is what
19
    you want to do?
20
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21
                    THE COURT: Okay, sir. Then that's what we're
22
    going to do.
23
                    Ms. Carroll, with regard to the discovery, you
24
    provided some information to the Court, a letter, and I'm
2.5
    assuming you provided a copy of that to Mr. Jenkins.
```

Anything that you would like to indicate with regard to your discovery responsibilities and what you've done to this point?

2.5

MS. CARROLL: Your Honor, before the hearing began today, the government did, as you indicated, give a Bates stamped copy of all the disclosures that have been made to date, including three additional disclosures pursuant to the defendant's request. Those additional disclosures include records of the defendant's border crossing activity, a map of Lansdowne, Ontario, and a summary of a forensic examination not conducted by any United States official, but a summary that was created in connection with the Canadian investigation that was produced by a Canadian law enforcement agent.

Those are the only additional documents that have been included in this discovery. The only omissions from this discovery are financial records that have been previously disclosed to the defendant but that are not pertinent to the present prosecution.

The government would just again reiterate on the record that it has given two opportunities to the defendant for the defendant to make an in-person review of the government's discovery disclosures. That first meeting was March 15, 2012. At that meeting Jeff Parry was present. At that point he was representing the defendant. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defendant essentially shut down during that meeting and refused to review discovery. The government then extended a second offer to the defendant to make an in-person review of the government's discovery. That offer was made in July. At that point the meeting was schedule, Jeff Parry was present at the meeting, and the defendant refused to come out of his cell.

So, the government has made extensive efforts to present all the discovery it has available and under which it has an obligation to disclose. The only disclosures that the defendant has not yet received are those that are pursuant to the Jencks Act, which the government does not have a present obligation to disclose. Should the material that the government has in its possession that is covered by Jencks, should the government be under that obligation at the time of trial, it will make those disclosures. government has reviewed exhaustively all the inventory discovery it has given and provided a Bates stamped inventory to the defendant today, and it has prepared that inventory and has provided it to the Court if the Court decides it is necessary. It is a more exhaustive inventory than the one that is currently on file with the court, and the government is happy to provide any additional documentation that the Court thinks is necessary or the government is obligated to disclose.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

THE COURT: Why don't you provide me with a new copy of what you provided to Mr. Jenkins today so I have them, because we're going to go to trial in a very short period of time with regard to this case. And I want to make sure that Mr. Jenkins gets his Speedy Trial. Anything else? MS. CARROLL: No. THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, do you want to be heard? THE DEFENDANT: I don't want to take the time to go through all that right at this second. THE COURT: Doesn't sound like there is much new there anyway, most of it has already been provided to you. THE DEFENDANT: I want to refer to my April 28th letter request for discovery. I believe it was sent twice. The Canadian forensic reports are turned over, should have been called a C4P disclosure package, and it should have had category reports, XML files, and a final report. I want to make sure I have those. THE COURT: Ms. Carroll, I'm going to let you respond to each one of those, okay. MS. CARROLL: And so Mr. Jenkins is aware, he should have received or maybe has not yet gotten its way through the prison mail system, but the government has gone through that April 28th letter and identified each of the

categories he seeks disclosure of and has responded to them. 1 But the C4P disclosure packet, I'm going to read from the 2 3 government's previous response to Mr. Jenkins: As the above inventory indicates, the government has previously disclosed 4 5 all warrant documentation and forensic examinations conducted 6 by the Canadians that are currently in its possession. 7 disclosures were made on October 21, 2011, and again further Canadian documents were disclosed on July 23rd, 2012. To the 8 9 extent the defendant seeks any items from the Canadian 10 investigation that are not currently in the possession of the 11 government, the government maintains that it has no 12 obligation to seek disclosure or to obtain possession of 13 documents that are produced or created by people who are not 14 members of the prosecution team. The Canadian investigation, 15 the Canadian prosecution was completely discrete and separate 16 from the present prosecution, and so the government has 17 actually exceeded its discovery obligations obtaining the 18 forensic examination conducted by the Canadians. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins. 20 THE DEFENDANT: First of all, anything that 21 was turned over to Mr. Parry was irrelevant because Mr. Parry 22 didn't share things with me. 23 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Jenkins, Mr. Parry, 24 while he was representing you, I have no idea what he 2.5 obtained for you and what he didn't obtain for you, but what

```
I'm going to do, I'm going to have my clerk contact him today
1
 2
    and indicate if he has anything in his possession that he
 3
    obtained through discovery demands or otherwise through his
    efforts in representing you, that it be sent to you
 4
 5
    immediately in the jail and we'll get that done.
 6
                    THE DEFENDANT: I have as part of the original
 7
    Canadian paperwork, again I can't really go through that
    right now, but are the category reports in here?
8
 9
                    THE COURT: Again, she's just answered your
10
    question, Mr. Jenkins. Any reports that they have in their
11
    control that they're responsible for she's indicated they've
12
    turned over. Whether that report is there or not, I don't
13
    know, and that may matter to you, you check it. If it's not
14
    there, that means they as officers of this court have
15
    indicated to me they don't have possession or control over.
16
    Okay? That's what that means. And they don't have any
17
    obligation to seek it out from the Canadian government.
18
                    THE DEFENDANT: Well, it said the Canadian
19
    forensic reports were turned over to DHS the day after the
20
    warrant was for my arrest.
21
                    THE COURT: Again, if they have it, they have
22
    a responsibility to give it to you, and she's indicated that
23
    they've done that.
24
                    THE DEFENDANT: But I have a list of what it
25
    was supposed to be. So, I mean, I just don't want to get
```

```
back there and not have it and have to have this discussion
1
 2
    again.
 3
                    THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, understand something.
    We're not going to have this discussion again. We're going
 4
 5
    through this to make sure that you have the discovery
 6
    materials and you understand that what the government has
 7
    represented that they have, they've turned over to you.
8
    Okay? And if there is some discovery violation where they
 9
    have something and they haven't turned it over, I'll deal
10
    with that at trial. But at this point they have indicated
11
    that everything they have in their control with regard to
12
    that Canadian investigation, they've given to you.
13
    their representation. Okay? So, if something's not there,
14
    they're telling you they don't have it.
15
                    THE DEFENDANT: All right.
16
                    THE COURT: And they're telling me that as
17
    well.
18
                    THE DEFENDANT: And the DHS, the analysis
19
    files, do we have those?
20
                    THE COURT: Ms. Carroll?
21
                   MS. CARROLL: Your Honor, as the government
22
    indicated in its filing on May 27th, there have been two
23
    forensic analyses conducted in connection with this
24
    investigation. The forensic examination reports have been
25
    disclosed to the defendant, both the first report and the
```

1 second supplemental report. 2 THE DEFENDANT: That was insufficient. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, you've got your answer, sir. You may feel it's insufficient, but I'm telling 4 5 them and they know what their discovery obligations are and 6 what they represented both on paper and in court here today 7 is sufficient. So go ahead, what's your next issue? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Well, it says -- it says in 9 the back under examination of the report that analysis files 10 and spread sheets are available and will be kept at the 11 Buffalo ICE, DHS, whatever, that's what I need. THE COURT: Ms. Carroll, are you aware of 12 13 these items? 14 MS. CARROLL: Any analysis files or spread 15 sheets that would contain digital images, Your Honor, the 16 government is not able to disclose. The government will 17 certainly inquire further if there is any supporting 18 documentation connected with these reports. The government 19 has so inquired. It's the government's view that anything 20 that is connected to the reports the government has. We will 21 ask again and if it turns out that there are spread sheets 22 that the government is not aware of now, we will certainly 23 disclose those to the defendant. But as of now, everything 24 that has been turned over to the government, everything that 25 was created by the government, the government is in

```
1
    possession of.
 2
                    THE COURT: I'm going to ask you to make one
 3
    more call or inquiry of DHS in Buffalo, and see if you don't
    already have it and hasn't already been provided, do they
 4
 5
    have it.
                   MS. CARROLL: We will do that, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                    THE COURT: Thank you. What else,
    Mr. Jenkins? And please understand that we're going to
8
 9
    schedule a trial date here very shortly.
10
                    THE DEFENDANT: A list of people that were
11
    interviewed when I was investigated by DHS.
12
                    THE COURT: Counsel, has that been provided?
13
                   MS. CARROLL: Your Honor, all the DHS reports
14
    of activity in this case have been turned over to the
15
    defendant. The DHS reports should detail any investigative
16
    steps taken.
17
                    THE COURT: What else?
18
                    THE DEFENDANT: She said the border crossing
19
    records were there.
20
                    THE COURT: She indicated earlier those are
21
            That's new information that's been obtained and it's
22
    been provided to you.
23
                    THE DEFENDANT: And I need the transcripts
24
    from the court proceedings.
```

THE COURT: Counsel, do you care to respond?

25

MS. CARROLL: Your Honor, the government will 1 in all likelihood will have to invoke a mutual legal 2 3 assistance treaty in order to obtain those transcripts. government is doing its best to see about the transcripts. 4 5 It's the government's understanding that the defendant's 6 parents have already obtained those transcripts, which the 7 government learned when its agents attempted to contact the Canadian court to obtain the transcripts. It's the 8 9 government's position those are public records. defendant is, in fact, in a better position to obtain those 10 11 transcripts because he was a party to the Canadian action. 12 And last, but not least, the government 13 actually has no obligation whatsoever to invoke a legal 14 assistance treaty to obtain those transcripts. With that 15 said, the government is doing its best and if the government 16 is able to get the transcripts, it will do so. 17 THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, do you hear that 18 response? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: And specifically before you look 21 back to your parents, at least your father was shaking his 22 head no, that he didn't have any transcripts, but you can ask 23 them about that. But please understand what I was indicating 24 earlier, that some of these things in the Canadian 25 proceeding, that you may be able to obtain them easier,

```
that's specifically what I was talking about. I saw that
1
 2
              The government isn't under an obligation to get
    request.
 3
    those transcripts for you.
                    THE DEFENDANT: Well, the government went up
 4
 5
    there and got what they wanted, the forms and whatever else.
    I mean, I wouldn't think it would be a big deal to call.
 6
 7
                    THE COURT: She's indicated it is kind of a
    big deal and they've asked, and they may get them and they
8
 9
    may not get them. But you're just as capable of getting
10
    those documents, probably more capable because you are a
11
    party at requesting those transcripts, and the government has
12
    no obligation to jump through the hoops and ask for and pay
13
    for transcripts that you want. So, if they're public
    documents in Canada that are part of a proceeding that you
14
15
    were part of, go ahead and request them and get them.
16
                    THE DEFENDANT: Okay. And as a matter of
17
    evidence missing, physical evidence missing. When is the
18
    government going to have all of these items together?
19
                    THE COURT: I don't know what you're referring
20
    to. Ms. Carroll?
21
                    THE DEFENDANT: The Canadians seized 15 items,
22
    they only provided six or seven.
23
                   MS. CARROLL: Your Honor, the government has
24
    previously disclosed on multiple occasions all of the
25
    evidence receipts and inventory receipts and property
```

```
receipts, all of those reflect any items that were retained
1
 2
    by the Canadians. It's the government's understanding that
 3
    anything obtained by the Canadians was probably retained
    because it contained contraband.
 4
 5
                    The inventory list reflected two of the items.
    I believe it's a wireless card and a USB port were actually
 6
 7
    turned back over to the defendant. But anything the
8
    government has, the government has disclosed the existence
 9
    of.
10
                    THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, did you hear that
11
    response?
12
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes. It's not acceptable.
13
                    THE COURT: Well, it's acceptable to the
14
    Court. They're not responsible for things they don't have
15
    possession of. They have possession of it, they've indicated
16
    that it's been turned over or it's available to you, that's
17
    the situation.
18
                    THE DEFENDANT: Well, they seized 15 items.
19
                    THE COURT: Who seized 15 items?
20
                    THE DEFENDANT: The Canadians.
21
                    THE COURT: And did you just hear her response
    about what they have control of and what's available to you?
22
23
    Did you hear that response?
24
                    THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
                    THE COURT: Okay. I know you don't like the
```

```
response, but it's sufficient with regard to their discovery
1
    responsibilities in this court.
 2
 3
                    THE DEFENDANT: Well, I mean, it seems like if
    you want to take over the Canadian's case, you should provide
 4
 5
    all the evidence and the background on the case. I mean, I
    don't get where they can just pick and choose what they want
 6
 7
    to use out of the original proceedings.
                    THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, they have a
8
 9
    responsibility, discovery responsibility for things that they
10
    have control over. If there are other things that the
11
    Canadians may have custody and control of, you can go ahead
12
    and try and get it yourself.
13
                    THE DEFENDANT: They seized them and I've
14
    never seen them. I haven't gotten anything back.
15
                    THE COURT: Well, sir, you've gotten your
16
    response and you've gotten a ruling from this Court with
17
    regard to this. As far as this Court's concerned at this
18
    point in time, unless I get different information, the
19
    government has complied with their discovery obligations to
20
    you, sir, in this area. So, what's your next question?
21
                    THE DEFENDANT: So, we're going to take this
22
    up on appeal, I take it?
23
                    THE COURT: You can take anything up on appeal
24
    you would like, sir.
```

THE DEFENDANT: I'm asking for all of the

25

```
evidence to be provided from the Canadian proceedings.
1
 2
                    THE COURT: Again, you have the answer of the
 3
    government and a ruling from this Court that they have
    fulfilled their discovery obligation with regard to evidence
 4
 5
    that they have custody and control over. End of story.
    What's next?
 6
 7
                    THE DEFENDANT: I guess that's it.
                    THE COURT: Okay, sir. Now we're going to
8
 9
    talk about a trial date. What's the date that we have
10
    proposed here?
11
                    THE CLERK: July 8th.
12
                    THE COURT: I'm going to set a July 8th trial
13
           The final pretrial conference is going to be scheduled
14
    for July 1st at 11 a.m. here in this courtroom. Pretrial
15
    submissions are due on June 17th, 2013. So, any pretrial
16
    submissions that you want, Mr. Jenkins, they need to be
17
    submitted to this Court by June 17th, 2013.
18
                    You will be advised of -- the Court will find
19
    and you will be advised of stand-by counsel for trial
20
    purposes. They will be here on the first day of trial, which
21
    is scheduled for July 8th. Anything further from the
22
    government?
23
                   MS. CARROLL: Your Honor, the only thing is
24
    that one of the government witnesses is eight months pregnant
25
    and she may or may not be in a position to travel on
```

Case 5:11-cr-00602-GTS Document 87 Filed 06/18/13 Page 37 of 38

CERTIFICATION

I, EILEEN MCDONOUGH, RPR, CRR, Official Court Reporter in and for the United States District Court,

Northern District of New York, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that I attended the foregoing proceedings, took stenographic notes of the same, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript thereof.

EILEEN MCDONOUGH, RPR, CRR Official U.S. Court Reporter

Eiler helgt